

accepter on 1 March, 2003, to Astrophys. J.

ERO R1 in the field of Cl 0939+4713 - Evidence for an S0-like galaxy at $z \sim 1.5$ ¹

Masanori IYE^{2,3}, Kazuhiro SHIMASAKU⁴, Satoshi MIYAZAKI⁵,
Chris SIMPSON⁵, Masatoshi IMANISHI², Nobunari KASHIKAWA^{2,3},
Tadayuki KODAMA⁶, Masashi CHIBA^{2,3}, Yoshihiko SAITO²,
Miwa GOTO⁷, Fumihide IWAMURO⁸, Naoto KOBAYASHI⁵,
Sadanori OKAMURA⁴, and Hiroshi TERADA⁵

iye@optik.mtk.nao.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

We present further observations of the extremely red object ERO J094258+4659.2, identified by Iye et al. (2000) as ERO R1 in their deep images of the cluster A851. We estimate its redshift independently by eight-band photometric redshift determination and cross-correlation of a new *H*-band spectrum with the optical spectra of local E/S0 galaxies, and conclude that it lies at $z \sim 1.5$. Although its colors are consistent both with an elliptical galaxy and an S0 galaxy at that redshift, its elongated shape and exponential luminosity profile suggest the presence of an evolved stellar disk component.

²*Optical and Infrared Astronomy Division, National Astronomical Observatory, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588*

³*Department of Astronomy, School of Science, Graduate University for Advanced Studies, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588*

⁴*Department of Astronomy, School of Science, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033*

⁵*Subaru Telescope, National Astronomical Observatory, 650 North A‘Ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720, USA*

⁶*Theoretical Astronomy Division, National Astronomical Observatory, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588*

⁷*Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, North A‘Ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720, USA*

⁸*Department of Astronomy, Kyoto University, Kita-shirakawa, Kyoto 606-8502*

¹Based on data collected at Subaru Telescope, which is operated by the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, and in part on observations with the NASA/ESA *Hubble Space Telescope*, obtained from the data archive at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA, Inc. under NASA contract NAS5-26555.

We rule out the possibility that these properties are strongly influenced by gravitational lensing by the foreground cluster, and therefore conclude that this object is more likely to be an S0-like galaxy, rather than a lensed elliptical. The H -band spectrum does not show strong $H\alpha$ emission and the star formation rate therefore appears to be very modest. The presence of such a galaxy with an apparently relaxed disk of stars at this high redshift provides a new and strong constraint on theoretical models which aim to explain the formation and evolution of galaxies.

Subject headings: galaxies: clusters: individual(A851)—galaxies: ellipticals and lenticulars, cD—galaxies: evolution

1. Introduction

The cluster of galaxies A851 (= Cl 0939+4713) at $z = 0.4$ was observed during the first light period of Subaru Telescope using the optical imager, Suprime-Cam, and the infrared imager, CISCO, both mounted at the Cassegrain focus (Iye et al. 2000). Five EROs with $R - K' > 5$ were found in the field of the cluster, and the object identified as ERO R1 was noted for its outstanding color ($R - K' = 7.4$) and elongated shape ($b/a = 0.4$) with its major axis aligned with the equipotential surface of the cluster. This object, formally named to as ERO J094258+46592 (but referred to as ERO R1 throughout this paper for brevity), was first noted in the literature by Smail et al. (1999) as object #333 but did not receive special mention. In Figure 1 we present postage stamp images of ERO R1 in various bands.

Preliminary analysis by Iye et al. (2000) from its RJK' magnitudes suggested that ERO R1 is likely to be a passively-evolving early-type galaxy at $1.0 < z < 1.6$ with modest dust extinction ($E(B - V) < 0.5$). The direction of the galaxy's major axis suggested that it might be the gravitationally lensed image of a rounder background galaxy, although the smooth and symmetrical shape argued in favor of intrinsic elongation. Iye et al. (2000) therefore suggested that ERO R1 might be an S0 or disk galaxy at high redshift.

The existence of early-type galaxies with dynamically-relaxed stellar disks, as ERO R1 appears to be, is an important issue for galaxy formation models to deal with. Since few EROs have been studied in detail, we have made further observations of this object in an attempt to determine its properties unambiguously and determine its relevance and importance in our understanding of the ERO phenomenon and galaxy formation. We report on the results of these observations in this paper. Unless otherwise stated, we adopt $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$.

2. Observations and reduction

2.1. IRCS imaging

The InfraRed Camera and Spectrograph (IRCS; Kobayashi et al. 2000) was used to observe ERO R1 on the night of UT 2001 Feb 3. A total of 30 minutes on-source integration was spent at H -band, and 40 minutes at zJ -band (the zJ -band filter is actually designed as an order-sorting filter with a central wavelength of $1.11\ \mu\text{m}$ and a bandwidth of $0.15\ \mu\text{m}$). These observations were made in groups of five 2-minute integrations at different dither positions. The sky was clear and the seeing was $0''.45$ – $0''.60$. The standard F9 star FS 127 (Hawarden et al. 2001) was observed as a photometric calibrator, with its magnitude estimated to be $zJ = 12.05$ from its measured $J(\text{FS127})=11.95$ and the color correction of $zJ(FS127) - J(FS127) = 0.10$ assuming the typical color of $z - J = 0.152$ for an F9 star and the effective central wavelengths of 0.80 , 1.11 , and $1.25\ \mu\text{m}$ for the z -, zJ -, and J -band, respectively. The estimated error of this zero-point calibration could be as large as 0.10 , considering the uncertainty in atmospheric extinction and the slight color deviation of FS127 in $J - H$ and $J - K'$ with those for typical F9 stars.

The images were reduced with IRAF⁹ using standard reduction procedures. First, bad pixels were identified and their values replaced with values interpolated from the surrounding pixels. The frames were then dark-subtracted and scaled to have the same median pixel value; these scaled frames were then median-filtered to produce a flatfield image. The individual dark-subtracted frames were then divided by a normalized version of this flatfield, aligned by using a bright source near ERO R1, and combined to produce the final image.

2.2. IRCS spectroscopy

An H -band grism spectrum of ERO R1 was taken with IRCS on the same night. A $0''.9$ -wide, $20''$ -long slit, providing a spectral resolution $R \sim 100$, was aligned with the target's major axis at $\text{PA} = 139^\circ$. The target was nodded between two positions on the slit separated by $7''$; each exposure was 5 minutes, and 22 such pairs of exposures were taken to provide a total integration time of 220 minutes. The stars HR 1729, HR 3928, and HR 4761 were also observed as spectrophotometric standards in the same manner as the target.

⁹IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc (AURA), under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

Reduction was again performed in a standard manner within IRAF. After subtracting the exposures in each pair from each other, the signal was extracted in a $2''$ aperture. Wavelength calibration was performed with a combination of night sky lines and an argon arc lamp spectrum. Atmospheric absorption features were removed by dividing the spectrum of the target by that of HR 4761, which was modeled as a $T = 6200$ K blackbody. Finally, the flux scale was tied to the H -band photometry.

2.3. Aperture Photometry

Additional multi-color optical imaging of the A851 field was made by Kodama et al. (2001) in the $BVRI$ bands, using Suprime-Cam at the prime focus of Subaru Telescope. For ease of reference, we list in Table 1 all the Subaru imaging data used in this paper. We also retrieved the *Hubble Space Telescope* NICMOS F160W-band (approximately H) image of Smail et al. (1999) from the *HST* data archive. The photometric zeropoint of this image was tied to the published magnitude of an unblended galaxy in the image.

Photometry of ERO R1 was performed within a $4''$ -diameter circular aperture in all the available images (including those presented in Iye et al. 2000), using identical parameter settings of SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). The magnitudes of ERO R1 quoted in (Iye et al. 2000) were obtained in a different aperture and are therefore slightly, but not dramatically, different from the values quoted here. We present the results of our photometry in Table 2. The poor seeing of the prime-focus B -band image precluded accurate photometry of ERO R1 in this band. No aperture corrections were applied since the aperture is significantly larger than the seeing in all cases, and therefore the measured colors should be accurate¹⁰.

3. Properties of ERO R1

3.1. Spectral energy distribution

The Hyperz code (Rodighiero et al. 2000; Bolzenella et al. 2000) was used to estimate the redshift of ERO R1 from our multi-band photometry. Redshifts $z \leq 3$ and extinctions $E(B - V) \leq 3.0$ (adopting the extinction curve of Calzetti et al. 2000) were considered, and template SEDs corresponding to E, S0, Sa, Sc, and Im galaxies, as well as a single starburst

¹⁰In fact, our aperture contains $\sim 98\%$ of the total galaxy flux, based on the exponential disk model we fit to the luminosity profile in the next section.

model, were tested. The best-fitting SED (nominal probability of 57%) was a 1-Gyr-old E/S0 galaxy at $z = 1.46$ with $A_V = 1.4$ mag, which clearly provides an excellent fit to the data. The estimated formal error on the redshift is as small as 0.03 (0.11) at 90% (99%) confidence. However, a second solution (nominal probability of 26%) was found of a 1,4-Gyr-old E/S0 with $A_V = 0.8$ mag at $z = 1.59$, and the weighted mean redshift is $z = 1.49$. We, therefore, assign the best photometric redshift estimate at $z = 1.5 \pm 0.1$.

The extinction we derive, $A_V \lesssim 1.4$ mag, is lower than that found for dusty starburst galaxies, one of the two major populations of EROs. On the other hand, we fail to see any rest-frame UV upturn in our V -band photometry, as is seen in local elliptical galaxies. However, the UV upturn in nearby ellipticals arises mainly from evolved AGB stars which would not be a significant population in a galaxy at $z \sim 1.5$. The location of ERO R1 in the $(R - K)$ vs $(J - K)$ two-color diagram also suggests that this object is a passively evolving early-type galaxy, rather than a dusty starburst (Pozzetti and Mannucci 2000; Mannucci et al. 2001). This is further supported by the absence of a redshifted $H\alpha$ line in our H -band spectrum, as we report in the next section.

3.2. H -band spectrum

The pixel scale of IRCS ($0''.06 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$) is designed for high spatial resolution imaging and spectroscopy, and is therefore not optimal for observations of extended objects such as ERO R1 (although it was the only instrument available at the time of our observations). As a result, the signal-to-noise ratio of our final spectrum is rather poor. We present a binned version of this spectrum in Figure 3.

No strong emission lines are seen in the spectrum, although there is a modest (1.7σ) enhancement at $1.72 \mu\text{m}$, which could be attributed to $H\alpha$ emission at $z = 1.62$. In any case, we can place an upper limit to the $H\alpha$ emission at any redshift $1.3 < z < 1.7$ of $2.1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (3σ), which corresponds to a star formation rate of $30h_{70}^{-2} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Cimatti 1999; Soifer et al. 1999; Glassman & Larkin 2000). Considering the modest amount of extinction derived in the previous section, we conclude that any starburst activity in this galaxy must be very modest.

We attempted to determine the redshift of ERO R1 independently by performing a cross-correlation analysis of our H -band spectrum with redshifted model galaxy spectra. The average level of SED was subtracted from the measured H -band SED and it was then cross correlated with a similarly bias-subtracted model SED of a redshifted early-type galaxy chosen from a model library (Kodama et al. 1998). The resulting cross correlation moment

was calculated for a redshift range $1.0 < z < 2.5$ with an interval of 0.005 for models with various age. The root-mean-square of the derived moment is taken as one sigma error for the assessment of significance. Some example results of this analysis for S0 galaxies are presented in Figure 4. The most significant peak (3.3σ) was found for a 3-Gyr-old single-burst model at $z = 1.50 \pm 0.02$, with a second peak (2.8σ) at $z = 1.72 \pm 0.02$. Models for ellipticals give similar results but with somewhat less significance. Note that a 1-Gyr-old E/S0 model does not produce strong peaks in this correlation function. This is apparently due to the fact that the TiO absorption features have not had time to develop for early-type galaxies. This analysis therefore suggests, though naively, that ERO R1 is at least ~ 2 Gyr old.

Although the template does not look like a good match to the observed SED of low signal-to-noise ratio, we show the best fit S0 SED model, a 3-Gyr-old single-burst model at $z = 1.50$, overlaid on the observed SED in Figure 3, to illustrate the TiO absorption features which lie in the 600–800 nm (rest-frame) region.

3.3. Luminosity profile

We use our K' -band image of ERO R1 to study its structure, since this has the highest signal-to-noise ratio, was taken in the best seeing, samples rest-frame wavelengths which are less affected by dust extinction and any transient star formation, and reflects the distribution of stellar population most appropriately among the observed bands.

At the isophotal limit of $\mu_{K'} = 22 \text{ mag arcsec}^{-2}$, the galaxy has a size of $3''.7 \times 1''.8$ ($31 \times 15 h_{70}^{-1} \text{ kpc}$ at $z = 1.5$), which is fairly large. We performed isophotal fitting to determine the luminosity profile, and Figure 5 shows that this is consistent with an exponential profile convolved with $0''.45$ seeing. We determined that the effects of seeing on the observed profile are negligible for $r > 0''.5$, and therefore our result is not dependent on the accuracy with which we had measured the seeing in our image. Similarly, reasonable uncertainties in the background subtraction do not affect the profile at isophotal levels brighter than 22.5–23 mag arcsec^{-2} . The scale length of the disk measured in the K' -band ($\lambda_{\text{rest}} = 0.85 \mu\text{m}$) is $\alpha^{-1} = 3h_{70}^{-1} \text{ kpc}$, which is consistent with the distribution of disk scale lengths measured by Lilly et al. (1998) for galaxies from the CFRS/LDSS surveys. They found that 48/104 galaxies with $0.2 < z < 1.0$ had scale lengths (in the F814W filter) $\alpha^{-1} > 2.2h_{70}^{-1} \text{ kpc}$. If ERO R1 evolves passively to the present epoch, its absolute magnitude would be $M_K = -25.0 + 5 \log h_{70}$, or about $3L^*$ (Gardner et al. 1997). Figure 6 shows the absolute total K magnitude M_K plotted against the effective radius R_e of E/S0 galaxies in the Coma cluster (Pahre 1999), with ERO R1 added. The size and the absolute total magnitude of R1 appear to be similar to those of giant E/S0 galaxies.

In an effort to further classify the morphological type of ERO R1, we attempted to perform bulge–disk decomposition of the object’s luminosity profile. The central excess above the seeing-convolved exponential disk profile might argue for a modest bulge component. A detailed analysis is not possible given the relatively small size of the object and our seeing-limited images, so instead we attempted to fit the profile with five models, where the bulge component contributes 0%, 30%, 50%, 70%, and 100% of the total K' -band light. We found that the model with $L_{\text{bulge}}/L_{\text{total}} = 0.3$ provides the best fit to the data over the entire range. The $L_{\text{bulge}}/L_{\text{total}} = 1.0$ and 0.7 models, and probably the 0.5 model, are brighter than the observed profile both at the central region and the outer region, while the pure disk model with $L_{\text{bulge}}/L_{\text{total}} = 0.0$ gives surface brightness fainter than was observed at the central region. We therefore conclude that ERO R1 is similar to nearby S0 galaxies with small bulges. Note that gravitational lensing may deform the shape of the image but will not change the de Vaucouleurs profile into an exponential profile. Similar analysis on HST $F160W$ image and CISCO H -band image gave consistent result with that from the above K' -band analysis.

Moriondo et al. (2000) made a morphological study of 41 EROs. Most of these objects appeared to be ellipticals, but a few galaxies with compact morphologies were best fitted with an exponential light profile. ERO R1 has an elongated shape comparable to the most elongated object in their sample (ID 22), but R1 appears to possess a smoother light profile. It is not clear how much of this may be attributable to the higher resolution and somewhat poorer signal-to-noise ratio of their *HST* data.

4. Discussion

4.1. Clustering at $z = 1.5$?

Daddi et al. (2001) found a strong clustering signal in their sample of 400 EROs and argued as a result that the ERO population is dominated by high-redshift elliptical galaxies, rather than dusty starbursts. Since ERO R1 appears to be a member of the class of passively-evolving early-type galaxies, it may belong to some element of large-scale structure and therefore there may be an overabundance of galaxies at $z \sim 1.5$. The Suprime-Cam imaging of Cl 0939+4713 by Kodama et al. (2001) was intended to study the large-scale structure in the distribution of galaxies, and a preliminary (unpublished) photometric redshift analysis based on their $BVRI$ data shows a slight excess of galaxies at $z = 1.5$. However the excess is very modest and the uncertainty in photometric redshifts determined solely from optical data is rather large at $z > 1$. Further studies, including wider-field infrared imaging, are clearly needed before a firm statement about the presence or absence of a distant cluster can

be made.

4.2. Evaluation of possible lensing effects

ERO R1’s major axis is almost perpendicular to the direction toward the surface mass density peak of the cluster as derived from the weak lensing analysis of Iye et al. (2000). This led Iye et al. (2000) to suggest that the elongated nature of ERO R1 could be due to image shear of an intrinsically rounder galaxy, caused by the strong gravitational field of the intervening cluster. Seitz et al. (1996) performed a weak-lensing analysis of Cl 0939+4713 and found that the cluster mass distribution closely traces the distribution of bright member galaxies. High-resolution X-ray observations by Schindler et al. (1998) confirmed the presence of concentrations of hot plasma consistent with the distribution of mass and galaxies, and indicate that this cluster is still actively evolving towards a virialized state.

The proximity of ERO R1 to the cluster center, whether defined as the optical center ($\alpha = 09^{\text{h}}42^{\text{m}}56^{\text{s}}.9$, $\delta = +46^{\circ}59'23''.1$, $21''$ from R1) or the X-ray peak M1 ($\alpha = 09^{\text{h}}42^{\text{m}}58^{\text{s}}.2$, $\delta = +46^{\circ}58'52''.0$, $20''.4$ from R1) makes it conceivable that the object’s morphology suffers considerable gravitational distortion. In order for the light from a galaxy at a projected distance r from the cluster center to be strongly lensed, a lensing mass with radius r of $M(< r) > \pi \sigma_{\text{cr}} r^2$ is required, where the critical surface mass density, σ_{cr} , depends on the distances to the lens and the background source, as well as the cosmological model. Since we know the redshifts to the source ($z = 1.5$) and lens ($z = 0.4$), we can calculate the required lensing mass, which is $M(< r) = 1.4 \times 10^{16} r_{\text{Mpc}}^2 M_{\odot}$, or $3 \times 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ for $r = 44$ kpc, assuming the center of the cluster to be the location where the reconstructed surface mass density peaks (see Figure 13 of Iye et al. 2000).

Figure 7 shows the integrated radial mass profile of the cluster derived from the weak lensing analysis using the method described in Kaiser et al. (1995). Adopting the surface mass density peak as the center of the cluster, we have performed the calculation for two values of the mean redshift of the background galaxies, $z_s = 1.0$ and $z_s = 3.0$. Although we cannot evaluate exactly the value of z_s , it is likely to be closer to 1.0 than 3.0. The integrated mass of the cluster CL 0939+4713 was estimated using X-ray observation by Schindler et al. (1998) and they deduced a mass of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ at 100 kpc from the X-ray peak M1 whose location coincides with the mass peak detected by lensing. The present mass estimate from lensing, as is shown in Figure 7, is consistent with their result.

From this figure, the mass within the projected distance to ERO R1 is at most $(1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{13} M_{\odot}$, or a factor of 3 lower than the mass required for strong lensing. We made

additional estimates of the mass after displacing the assumed cluster center in four directions, but found that the result was changed by less than 30%. Note in particular that if the cluster center is at the X-ray peak M1, the distance to R1 increases and the required mass becomes even larger. We therefore conclude that the cluster mass is insufficient to strongly lens the light from ERO R1.

Since the distance of ERO R1 from the cluster center is relatively small, the image, if lensed, would show distinct bending, whose radius of curvature is approximately equal to the distance of ERO R1 from the lens center. The observed image of ERO R1 does not show any expected curvature and hence also is not consistent with strong lensing interpretation.

Although ERO R1 is not strongly lensed, the large mass of the intervening cluster may affect its observed shape, and we have attempted to reconstruct its unlensed image. We model the cluster as an isothermal sphere, using Schindler et al.’s (1998) estimate of the total mass, for five different assumed locations of the center of mass. Figure 8 (Case 0) presents the unlensed image if the center of mass is located at the X-ray peak M1. In Figure 9, we present four additional images where we have displaced the center of mass by $10''$ (equal to the smoothing applied to the X-ray image) from M1; we refer to these as Cases N, W, E, and S, according to whether the displacement was made to the north, west, east, or south, respectively. The calculation for Case N requires data in an unobserved region, and we assigned the lowest observed surface brightness to this region. We constructed the luminosity profiles of the five unlensed images and confirmed that they were all well-fit with an exponential disk profile, as expected. ERO R1 therefore possesses a significant disk component and its elongated shape is not due to gravitational lensing of a rounder elliptical galaxy.

4.3. Implications for the early formation of an S0 galaxy

Our interpretation of an apparently relaxed, massive S0 galaxy at $z = 1.5$ is made difficult since we do not know whether it lies in a cluster or in the field. The luminous early-type populations of rich clusters are known to be remarkably homogeneous and the bulk of their stellar populations appear to be old (Bower et al. 1992; Ellis et al. 1997). Jones et al. (2000) found from their spectroscopic data that there is no statistically significant difference between the luminosity-weighted age of the E and S0 galaxies in clusters at $0.37 < z < 0.56$, supporting the conventional formation model of old, coeval E and S0 galaxies. In nearby clusters, the density–morphology relation and the formation of S0 galaxies are often related to the effects of ram pressure stripping (Dressler 1980). This is unlikely to be the cause of ERO R1’s morphology, however, since it is not clear whether ram pressure stripping could

occur effectively at such an early cosmic epoch, and our optical–infrared images provide no evidence for the existence of a rich cluster. We note that Soifer et al. (1999) identified another ERO in the field of Cl 0939+4713 (named Cl 0939+4713 B) as a passively-evolving galaxy at $z = 1.58$ (the redshifts of ERO R1 and Cl 0939+4713 B are marginally consistent given the uncertainties), although this is $\gtrsim 700$ kpc from R1 and therefore cannot be said to belong to the same ‘cluster’.

It is far more likely, therefore, that ERO R1 is simply a field galaxy. A morphological analysis of galaxies in the Hubble Deep Fields by Rodighiero et al. (2001) led these authors to conclude that massive E/S0 galaxies tend to disappear from flux-limited samples at $z > 1.4$. They therefore suggested that the era $1 < z < 2$ corresponds to a very active phase for the assembly of massive E/S0 galaxies in the field.

Smith et al. (2002) studied the gravitationally lensed ERO J003707+0909.5 at $z = 1.6$ in the field of the cluster A68. From their reconstructed image and photometric analysis, they concluded that this object is an L^* early-type disk-galaxy, and therefore very similar to ERO R1. In addition to the known classes of EROs, i.e., dusty starbursts such as HR 10 (Hu & Ridgway 1994; Graham & Dey 1996; Dey et al. 1999) and passively-evolving ellipticals, Smith et al. suggested that a significant fraction of EROs may evolve into luminous spiral galaxies by the present epoch.

If the absence of high over density of galaxies around R1 is confirmed, some constraints will be placed as to the physical mechanisms of forming S0 galaxies. As already discussed, ram-pressure stripping will not be a dominant factor and instead a mechanism which can be effective in lower density regions will be required. This is consistent with the recent findings that the truncation of star formation in galaxies is not the phenomenon restricted to the dense cluster cores but is wide spread to relatively low density regions (e.g., Kodama et al. 2001; Balogh et al. 1999; 2002, Lewis et al. 2002; Gomez et al. 2002).

5. Summary

We have presented new observations of ERO R1 in the field of the rich cluster CL 0939+4713 (A851). By independent analyses of its multi-color photometry and H -band spectrum, we have concluded that it is a passively-evolving galaxy at $z = 1.5 \pm 0.1$. We have shown that gravitational lensing by the foreground cluster may play some part in, but cannot be the main cause of, its elongated shape. The radial luminosity profile is well-represented by an exponential disk with a relatively small bulge component, indicating that the stellar population in this galaxy is well-relaxed. Our results have led us to conclude that ERO R1 is an

S0-like galaxy whose stellar disk is already well-developed by $z = 1.5$. This conclusion poses a new constraint on models of disk formation at high redshift.

We are grateful to the staff of Subaru Telescope for their support during the observations reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- Balogh, M.L., Morris, S.L., Yee, H.K.C., Carlberg, R.G., Ellingson, E., 1999, *ApJ*, 527, 54.
- Balogh, M.L., Bower, R.G., Smail, I., Ziegler, B.L., Davies, R.L., Gaztelu, A., Fritz, A., 2002, *MNRAS*, 337, 256.
- Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, *A&AS*, 117, 393
- Bolzenella, M., Miralles, J.-M., & Pello, R. 2000, *A&A*, 363, 476
- Bower, R., Lucey, J., Ellis, R., 1992, *MNRAS*, 254, 601
- Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., Kinney, A. L., Koornneef, J., & Storchi-Bergmann, T. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 682
- Cimatti, A., et al. 1999, *A&A*, 352, L45.
- Daddi, E., Cimatti, A., Pozzetti, C., Hoekstra, H., Roettgering, H. J. A., Renzini, A., Zamorani, G., & Mannuri, F. 2000, *A&A*, 361, 535
- Dey, A., Graham, J. R., Ivison, R. J., Smail, I., Wright, G. S., & Liu, M. C. 1999, *ApJ*, 519, 610
- Dressler, A. 1980, *ApJ*, 236, 351
- Dressler, A., et al. 1997, *ApJ*, 490, 577
- Ellis, R., Smail, I., Dressler, A., Couch, W., Oemler, A., Butcher, H., & Sharples, R. 1997, *ApJ*, 483, 582
- Fernandez-Soto, A., Lanzetta, K.M., Chen, H.W., Levine, B., Yahata, N., 2002, *MNRAS*, 330, 889
- Gardner, J. P., Sharples, R. M., Frenk, C. S., & Currasco, B. E. 1997, *ApJ*, 490, L99

- Glassman, T. M., & Larkin, J. E. 2000, *ApJ*, 539, 570
- Gomez,P.L., Nichol,R.C., Miller,C.J., Balogh,M.L., Goto,T., Zabludoff,A.I., Romer,A.K., et al., 2003, *ApJ*, 584, 210.
- Graham, J. R., & Dey, A. 1996, *ApJ*, 471, 720
- Hawarden,T ., Leggett, S. K., Letawsky, M. B., Ballantyne, D. R., & Casali, M. M. 2001, *MNRAS*, 325, 563
- Hu, E. M., & Ridgway, S. E. 1994, *AJ*, 107, 1303
- Iye, M., et al. 2000, *PASJ*, 52, 9
- Jones, L., Smail, I., & Couch, W., J. 2000, *ApJ*, 528, 118
- Kaiser, N., Squires, G., & Broadhurst, T. 1995, *ApJ*, 449, 460
- Kobayashi, N., et al. 2000, in *Proc. SPIE 4008: Optical and IR Telescope Instrumentation and Detectors*, eds M. Iye & A. F. Moorwood, 1056
- Kodama, T., Arimoto,N., Barger,A.J., & Arag'on-Salamanca,A., 2001, *A&A*, 334, 99
- Kodama, T., Smail, I., Nakata, F., Okamura, S., & Bower, R.G. 2001, *ApJ*, 562, L9
- Lewis,I., Balogh,M., De Propriis,R., Couch,W., Bower,R., Offer,A., Bland-Hawthorn,J. et al. 2002, *MNRAS*, 334, 673.
- Lilly, S., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 75
- Mannucci, F., Pozetti, L., Thompson, D., Oliva, E., Baffa, C., Comoretto, G., Gennari, S., & Lisi, F. 2001, *MNRAS*, 327, L57
- Moriondo, G., Cimatti, A., & Daddi, E. 2000, *A&A*, 364, 26
- Navarro, J., Frenk, C., & White, S. 1996 *ApJ*, 462, 563
- Pahre, M. A. 1999, *ApJS*, 124, 127
- Pozzetti, L., & Mannucci, F. 2000, *MNRAS*, 317, L17
- Rodighiero, G., Franceschini, A., & Fasano, G. 2001, *MNRAS*, 324, 491
- Rodighiero, G., Granato, G. L., Franceschini, A., Fasano, G., & Silva, L. 2000, *A&A*, 364, 517

- Schindler, S., Bellon,i P., Ikebe, Y., Hattori, M., Wambsganss, J., & Tanaka, Y. 1998, A&A 338, 843
- Seitz, C., Kneib, J.-P., Schneider, P. & Seitz, S. 1996, A&A, 314, 707
- Smail, I., Dressler, A., Couch, W. J., Ellis, R., Oemler, A., Butcher, H., & Sharples, R. M., 1997, ApJS, 110, 213
- Smail, I., Morrison, G., Gray, M. E., Owen, F. N., Ivison, R. J., Kneib, J.-P., & Ellis, R. S. 1999, ApJ, 525, 609
- Smail, I., Owen, F. N., Morrison, G. E., Keel, W. C., Ivison, R. J., & Ledlow, M. J. 2002, astro-ph/0208434
- Smith, G. P., Smail, I., Kneib, J.-P., Davis,C. J., Takamiya, M., Ebeling, H., & Czoske, O. 2002, astro-ph/0203402
- Soifer, B. T., Matthews, K., Neugebauer, G., Armus, L., Cohen, J. G., & Persson, S. E. 1999, AJ, 118, 206
- Susa, H., & Umemura, M. 2000, MNRAS, 316, L17
- Susa, H., & Umemura, M. 2000, ApJ, 537, 578

Fig. 1.— V , R , I , zJ , J , H , $F160W$, and K' images of ERO R1. The size of the images is $5.''8 \times 5.''8$. North is up and East is to the left.

Fig. 2.— Broad-band photometry of ERO R1 (filled circles corresponding to the V , R , F702W, I , zJ , J , H , and K' bands) compared with the best-fit evolutionary synthesis model of a 1 Gyr E/S0 galaxy at $z = 1.46$ with $A_V = 1.4$ mag of extinction.

Fig. 3.— H -band spectrum of ERO R1 (filled circles with error bars) overlaid on a 3 Gyr single burst passive evolution model at redshift 1.5 (broken line). The ordinate is normalized by $F_\lambda = 2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$.

Fig. 4.— Cross-correlation of the H -band spectrum with single burst passive evolution models of galaxies at various ages. The range of the redshift in the last panel is terminated at $z = 2.1$, corresponding to the largest possible redshift for a 3-Gyr-old galaxy.

Fig. 5.— K' -band isophotal luminosity profile of ERO R1 (filled circles). The solid and dotted curves represent seeing-convolved profiles for a pure exponential disk and for a pure elliptical galaxy with the de Vaucouleurs profile, respectively. The broken curve shows the seeing convolved best fit composite profile with $L_{bulge}/L_{total} = 0.3$.

Fig. 6.— A plot of K -band absolute total magnitude M_K against effective radius R_e for galaxies in the Coma cluster (small circles). The large square indicates the location of ERO R1 in this plot, which is consistent with the Coma galaxies.

Fig. 7.— The radial mass profile of Cl 0939+4713 estimated from the observed tangential shears of faint ($R > 24$) galaxies for two assumed mean redshifts, $z_s = 1.0$ (filled circles) and $z_s = 3.0$ (triangles). The error bars are obtained using orthogonal shear components and do not reflect uncertainties in Σ_c . The Navarro et al. (1996) mass profile with $V_{200} = 1500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $c = 3.0$ is presented as a dashed line for reference.

Fig. 8.— Unlensed image of ERO R1 constructed by modeling the lensing cluster as an isothermal sphere with its center located at the X-ray intensity peak M1 (Case 0)

Fig. 9.— Unlensed images of ERO R1 for four other cases where the center of the cluster has been displaced by $10''$ in the N, E, S, and W directions.

Table 1: Observation log of our Subaru data.

Date	Instrument(Focus)	Band	Exposure	Seeing	5 σ
Jan.21, 2001	Suprime-Cam(Prime)	<i>B</i>	900 s $\times$ 4	1''1	27.0
Jan.22, 2001	Suprime-Cam(Prime)	<i>V</i>	540 s $\times$ 4	0''7	26.3
Jan.12, 1999	Suprime-Cam(Cass)	<i>R</i>	900 s $\times$ 4	0''45	-
Jan.21, 2001	Suprime-Cam(Prime)	<i>R</i>	990 s $\times$ 4	1''1	26.2
Jan 22, 2001	Suprime-Cam(Prime)	<i>I</i>	315 s $\times$ 4	0''7	24.6
Feb.2, 2001	IRCS(Cass)	<i>zJ</i>	120 s $\times$ 20	0''55	-
Jan.13, 1999	CISCO(Cass)	<i>J</i>	60 s $\times$ 48	0''3	-
Feb.2, 2001	IRCS(Cass)	<i>H</i>	120 s $\times$ 15	0''55	-
Jan.11, 1999	CISCO(Cass)	<i>K'</i>	20 s $\times$ 144	0''3	-

Table 2: Photometry of ERO R1.

Band	Magnitude	Error
<i>B</i> Suprime-Cam	-	-
<i>V</i> Suprime-Cam	26.48	0.22
<i>F702W</i> WFPC2	24.86	0.06
<i>R</i> Suprime-Cam	25.59	0.05
<i>I</i> Suprime-Cam	23.78	0.12
<i>zJ</i> IRCS	21.5	0.24
<i>J</i> CISCO	20.47	0.01
<i>H</i> NICMOS	19.24	0.01
<i>H</i> IRCS	19.40	0.09
<i>K'</i> CISCO	18.32	0.01

This figure "f1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0209092v2>

This figure "f2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0209092v2>

This figure "f3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0209092v2>

This figure "f4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0209092v2>

This figure "f5.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0209092v2>

This figure "f6.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0209092v2>

This figure "f7.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0209092v2>

This figure "f8.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0209092v2>

This figure "f9.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0209092v2>